## UC Aspen A & B April 27, 2022 – 3:30p.m.-5:00p.m. MINUTES

Blatt, Brown, Doerner, Endres (Muller), Matchett, Schaberl, Senbet, Sileo, Wiegand Applegate, Athproxyalloff, 2022 meeting minutes

Approved without objection.

- ullet
- o Doerner and Endres are nominees for Chair.
  - Doerner was approved by poll vote as Chair.
- o Muller nominated Endres for Vice-Chair.
  - Endres was approved by acclamation as Vice-Chair.
- •
- Senbet outlined four main items of consideration relating to the tenure-track proposal:
  - Establish under what conditions/situations tenure-track faculty may face non-renewal.
    - Performance-related factors and/or what other reasons may result in non-renewal.
  - Explore the option of multi-year contracts.
    - Examine the rationale of tenure.
      - Academic freedom is one reason for tenure; job security i

## DISCUSSION:

- o Faculty and administration have expressed differing views about the relationship/interaction between the tenure-track contract policy and the comprehensive review policy as they are currently written.
  - We want to amend the policies in a way that provides clarity and is agreeable to all parties.
- o Members discussed circumstances that could result in non-renewal of a tenure-track faculty member.
  - Clearly, tenure-track faculty may non-renewed for performance reasons.
  - The administration's position is that tenure-track faculty can be non-renewed for any reason, as long as it is not discriminatory.
  - Members deliberated about what limits/criteria could be put in place to establish an objective basis for non-renewal decisions.
    - Non-performance-related factors that may result in non-renewal: Enrollment decline, program closure/restructuring, and financial exigency.
- Members discussed the feasibility of whether years of service could factor into decisions to renew/not renew.
  - Example: Make non-renewal in early years of tenure-track status for non-performance reasons allowable, but after pre-tenure review the decision to non-renew must be based solely on performance.
    - This would create an environment where, after year three, a tenuretrack faculty member with good performance would be guaranteed a chance to apply for tenure.
  - However, if program enrollment trends continue downward after *x*-number of years, we don't want to corner the university into keeping faculty who don't have a viable program.

•

- Thanks to Senbet for chairing the committee this year.
- Senbet thanked Levin for setting an excellent precedent of attending committee meetings as Senate Chair.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:06pm.

Dawit Senbet Chair Betsy Kienitz Recording Secretary